

EXPLORING THE INTERACTION BETWEEN RECORDS MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND ADMINISTRATIVE EFFECTIVENESS OF LAGOS STATE JUDICIARY, NIGERIA

OTOBO ELVIS EFE
Caleb University,
Imota, Lagos, Nigeria
Elvisotobo1978@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Administrative effectiveness is the systematic use of resources for the purpose of achieving the objectives of an organization. In Nigeria, the administrative effectiveness of the Judiciary appears to be poor as demonstrated by gross delay in response time to applicants and litigants, poor teamwork and communication. Records management practices has been considered useful in the organization. This study examined records management practices and administrative effectiveness of Lagos State Judiciary, Nigeria. The study adopted a survey research design. The population of the study consisted of 328 administrative staff of Lagos State Judiciary, Nigeria. Total enumeration was used. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Findings showed that the administrative effectiveness in the Lagos State Judiciary was at a high level (overall mean score = 3.89), on a scale of 5. The study concluded that records management practices contribute to administrative effectiveness. It has recommended that judges should motivate staff to come early to work, encourage team work, sustain good records management practices to enhance administrative effectiveness.

Keywords: Administrative effectiveness, Lagos State Judiciary, Records management practices, Records management

INTRODUCTION

The judiciary in Nigeria has its own antecedents from the colonial period through a gradual constitutional development. History have it that; at the time of independence in 1960, the judiciary was consolidated in its present form with a mixture of English Common Law, Sharia Law and Customary Law. Subsequently, a constitution based on a parliamentary model was introduced in 1960 when Nigeria formally became an independent state. This was later amended in 1963 when Nigeria attained the republican status. With the passage of time, democratic rule was aborted with the military intervention in 1966 which marked the beginning of an end to judicial independence. The military suspended the constitution but allowed the judiciary and existing laws to continue to exist. New laws were made with decrees at the federal levels and edicts at the state levels. The independence of the judiciary was not protected as litigations were decided according to the language of the military degrees and edicts. In this present dispensation, the existence of a judiciary in a democratic government is based on the principle of separation of powers which states that separated powers of government, the meeting points of the powers and their areas of dislocation (Kalu, 2018).

The powers of the judiciary are vast in which the National Assembly cannot abrogate any court order under the judiciary. The constitution outlines the powers of the judiciary such as the power to oversee all courts. The judiciary has the highest prerogative in the determination and administration of justice. It has the administrative expertise to ascertain claims and counter claims, legal rights of individuals and corporate organizations. Besides, the judiciary is saddled with the responsibility of providing legal services to the society in Nigeria and as such assumes the responsibility of accomplishing goals and objectives set before it

through the combined efforts of human and material resources within the system. Despite being a powerful arm of government, which has the responsibility to protect the common man, it is a human institution accused of many errors. This is simply because it is a product of human thinking with the tendency to be partial in the application of human laws and in resolving disputes. Okenyodo (2018) explains the need to ensure that the processes within the judiciary are made more transparent. In essence, no single court under the judiciary has the special ability of knowing precisely and accurately all the facts involved in any dispute or how best to resolve the dispute to ensure that each party gets its due. Hence, administrative effectiveness is critical to sustainable judicial system in Nigeria.

Administrative effectiveness involves effectiveness of individuals, groups or teams and effectiveness of the organisation as whole. Individual effectiveness is directed towards the personality of the administrators and towards accomplishing stated goals and responsibilities in the organization. The dimension of group or team effectiveness deals with a common understanding of goals and objectives together with the ability of the groups to accomplishing them as a unit. The goals of an organization can only be accomplished when components of the organization are working in a coordinated fashion. The ability to manage resources, organize people, information, knowledge, how persons can be managed, remembering that *persons* manage persons. In a dialogical sense, they can help each other and work together, even if they are adversaries. In that sense, persons management must strive to be sustainable at the human, organizational, and environmental levels (Michel Fortier, Marie-Noelle Albert, 2015).

A judiciary staff must display quality administrative capabilities such as showing the way, managing change, exhibiting a clear picture of personality trait to lead and the capability to foresee problems beforehand. Adeniyi (2014) reasoned that indicators of administrative effectiveness should involve openness, agreeableness and conscientiousness. Administrative effectiveness also involves adaptation, goal attainment, integration, and sustaining organizational values (Karsli & Sahin, 2015). According to Tanvee and Khan (2014) administrative effectiveness take cognizance of the leadership traits of administrators. Therefore, a judicial staff as an administrator will need to possess the right skills and ability to drive effective administration within the judicial system of any nation. To be effective, administrators in the court must exhibit sufficient administrative skills such as coordination if effective administration must be triggered. He or she has the responsibility to manage all case files in the court and to assist in the court process geared towards administering justice. These activities may not be well carried out without some level of coordination and effective communication. Good communication has to do with getting the right message to the right person in the right medium at the right time. Effective communication allows for administrative staff to perform the job well. With the aid of effective communication, an organization is able to have good coordination among the members or unit in that organization. Therefore, the absence of effective communication has the tendency of creating problems in the smooth operation of the judiciary.

Osawe (2017) advances certain measures, which if adopted by the public servants will promote the virtue of time management that can lead to employee effectiveness and efficiency in the public service. These indicators are in congruence with the administrative duties expected of a judicial staff since not all indicators can be applied to the judiciary with regard to the nature of their calling. Hence, judicial effectiveness is x-rayed in the light of timeliness, teamwork and coordination. Timeliness as an administrative effectiveness indicator emphasizes the time frame within which an administrator responds to clients of the organization. Quick response to organizational efforts as assigned to each administrative staff is crucial to the success of the organization.

According to Firdous, (2017) Competent and effective administrators are of vital importance to the success of every dynamic organization. This is due to the fact that the organization itself is complex with administrators performing different roles and responsibilities. Timeliness is also critical in any institution irrespective of size and location of the organization. Therefore, when responsibilities are carried out with

reduced time frame, the organization will accomplish more within a short possible period of time. In the context of the Judiciary, timeliness will mean that case files are provided on time, each documented court case is attended to within limited time space. Inquiries from clients and other legal documentations are provided on time for the benefit of prompt litigation and administration of justice. No court will function effectively with recurrent cases of delay in the provision of administrative resources for the proceeding of any case to take effect. Meaning that poor time factor has the tendency of affecting administrative effectiveness in the judiciary. Besides, when clients of an organization are not attended promptly, the consequences may range from withdrawal of trust leading to negative perception of such an organization. In the case of the judiciary, a delay in response time to client will further destroy the negative perception of the judiciary whose integrity is in the balance especially in the Nigerian case.

It is then crucial to note that, an administrative staff in the Judiciary must show quality administrative capability such as managing time in order to drive the organization forward. As a dimension of administrative effectiveness, teamwork lays emphasis on the need to collaborate in the discharge of administrative duties in the judiciary. Clients who patronise the courts have highlighted the need for teamwork for effective administrative in the judiciary. Lawyers, judges, Clerks and other working in any court system under the judiciary can collaborate with others throughout the firm who have complementary skill set or specialise in some certain areas in order to serve clients. Without a sound and clear picture of teamwork in the judiciary, administrative effectiveness may not be achieved. Thus, teamwork echoes the fact that lawyers and other administrative staff must frequently collaborate across to ensure that work efforts in the system is accomplished. Teamwork in the judiciary can occur when administrators channel their strength and integrate their expertise in order to deliver quality results on complex issues in the system. It is a common knowledge that no organization can function effectively without some level of teamwork among its employees, hence it is paramount that for the judicial administrator to function effectively teamwork is evitable and this goes along with coordination.

Additionally, there is need for coordination in the judicial sector. Administration of justice in the judiciary sector is highly dependent on coordination. Coordination helps to create efficiency in the administration of justice, aids harmony among the sector and resolve problems that may arise in the judiciary sector. Several problems may arise when there is lack of coordination in the justice sector. These include major delay in trials, congestion of court dockets with cases not appropriate for trial in those courts, lack of prosecution of many crime suspects for crimes committed and the main issue is that many crime suspects spend a brutally protracted period of time awaiting trials in cell, sometimes over ten years. The vital goal of coordination in the administration of justice is captured in the administration of Justice Commission Act of 2004. The key function of the Commission as stated in the Administration of Justice Commission Act are as follows: The Commission shall be charged with the general supervision of the administration of justice in Nigeria; The courts system in Nigeria is generally maintained and adequately financed; criminal matters are speedily dealt with, among others (Administration of Justice Commission Act, 2004).

Despite the importance role played by the judiciary, administrative effectiveness is lacking. A preliminary observation by this researcher revealed that administrative efforts in the judiciary in Lagos state is not good enough in which there is delay in response time to applicants and litigants, cases are adjourned for lack of prompt administrative effort. There are accumulation of legal processes, poor teamwork as administrative staff rarely collaborate in the act of providing speedy justice as well as poor coordination and communication. This observation is corroborated by the work of Oden (2018) who highlighted that the judiciary in Nigeria is faced with many negative lights as there is poor administration.

Globally, Administrative effectiveness has been given attention by researchers and administrative personnel, especially in the judiciary. According to Mabala (2018) the UK government under its parliament makes the laws and the judges do interpret the laws. These laws guide the judiciary and are made out of grievances in

the society brought by individuals, representatives in the legislature. According to Hofstede's score, UK is among the highly individualized countries and hence individuals such as civil servant do not depend on their decisions much to politicians, confidence is what leads them to stand on their decisions. There is latent harmony between the powerful and the powerless and also cooperation among the powerless can be based on solidarity. Remarkable progress has been seen in Latin-American statutes and case law in terms of procedural principles guaranteeing a fair trial, the efforts to staunch the proliferation of repetitive claims, now called artificial claims, have failed for several reasons (Ricardo, 2016). These reasons range from the lack of specialized courts and procedural laws sensitive to the public-law nature of administrative disputes to the fact that administrative authorities lack the necessary independence and technical expertise to perform their institutional role.

Still, on the issues of administrative effectiveness, China's judges have been a large, amorphous category with very low, if any, professional qualifications (Qianfan, 2018). In the early 1990s, China had twice as many judges as lawyers, though only a small proportion of them heard any cases. Over time, a large number with low remuneration and low professional quality formed a 'stable equilibrium' in the Chinese judiciary. The first step toward a more effective judicial system has been to break this 'equilibrium', or vicious cycle, by adopting a rigorous definition of 'judges', reducing the existing pool of judges and improving the social and economic status of this more selective group. A key to the success of this judicial reform is to make the judiciary a more appealing vocation for China's young talent. Second, Chinese courts are institutionally and financially dependent on local governments. Until now, all levels of local courts have depended on local governments at the corresponding level in terms of both appointments and funds (Sun, 2015). Judges' salaries and funds for court operations have come mostly from the local government budget, with leaders of the courts selected by the Local People's Congress (LPC) at the corresponding level.

African countries are not left out with issues and challenges on administrative effectiveness. In Kenya, it is noted that the country has made significant strides toward realizing meaningful democracy since the inception of reform initiatives in the early 1990s (Migai, 2018). As a result of these democratization initiatives, the powers of the executive have been curtailed, and the legislature and the judiciary now enjoy considerable autonomy. Despite these significant gains, abuse of power, government corruption and ineffectiveness in the judiciary continues to thrive. This has been a huge bane of administrative effectiveness especially of the judicial system in that country.

Another country ravaged by inconsistencies in its judiciary is Tanzania. According to the 2019 Global Corruption Barometer, 21 per cent of respondents in Tanzania believe that "most or all" judges and magistrates are corrupt, down from 36 per cent in 2015 (Transparency International 2019). The improvement in perceived judicial corruption may be attributed to several government and developmental initiatives. For example, there are projects such as the World Bank-supported Citizen-Centric Judicial Modernization and Justice Service Delivery Project, which aims at reforms such as upgrading infrastructure, training officers of the court, building facilities in underserved areas, and introducing technology (World Bank, 2017). Even Magufuli's special court to fight graft has instilled hope in the citizenry (Xinhua, 2017). Nevertheless, the Tanzanian judiciary is still known to suffer from underfunding, corruption, nepotism, a lack of information and inefficiency, especially in the lower courts (Bertelsmann Stiftung 2018; US Department of State, 2018; Freedom House, 2019). Since judges are political appointees and the judiciary does not have an independent budget, it is left highly vulnerable to political pressure (Freedom House, 2019). Lengthy legal proceedings, the inadequacy of financial resources and qualified personnel, and mistrust from the citizenry hamper the legal system's potential to fulfil its role (Bertelsmann Stiftung, 2018). Court clerks often ask for bribes to get cases started or to slow them down. Magistrates take bribes to give soft sentences, reduce penalties, withdraw charges or release arrested persons on bail (Kilimwiko, 2019). Judicial independence in Ghana is constitutionally and legally enshrined; nevertheless, corruption and bribery continue to pose challenges (Freedom House 2018). Ghana's judiciary was thrown into crisis in 2015 following the release of a

documentary that implicated 180 judicial officials, 34 judges, and scores of prosecutors and state attorneys in accepting bribes in exchange for favourable judgments from 2013 to 2014 (Freedom House 2016; US Department of State, 2017). Following the exposé by investigative journalist Anas Aremyaw Anas (also responsible for uncovering corruption in the GFA), 22 circuit and magistrate judges were suspended, and 12 high court judges were being investigated (GAN Integrity 2018). However, no criminal prosecutions were pursued against any of the corrupt judicial officials (US Department of State 2017). While there has been no obvious proof of government meddling in judicial systems, corruption and limited administrative capacity continue to pose the greatest difficulties, illustrated in unduly long legal procedures and sometimes incomprehensible verdicts (Bertelsmann Stiftung, 2018). Moreover, scarce resources and underpaid judges have gone on to hamper the integrity of the body, by indulging in high levels of bribery and extortion within the courts (GAN Integrity, 2018). Large corruption cases are prosecuted in court; however, proceedings are lengthy and convictions are slow in coming (US Department of State 2017; GAN Integrity, 2018). Going to court is often too expensive for the average citizen, and only those with means can afford legal proceedings. Informal procedures of arbitration (e.g. through traditional rulers or elders) are more easily accessible and still play an important role (Bertelsmann Stiftung, 2018).

In Nigeria, the complexity in the administrative process in many organizations requires a modernized system approach to ease the process. Performance of systems such as that of the judiciary cannot be realized without effective administration. Administrative effectiveness is about the steady accomplishment of administrative duties and timely realization of set objectives. Administrative effectiveness can be measured through administrative extent of accountability, administrative performance improvement, effective resources management, monitoring, appropriate delegation of tasks, timely discharge of duties and constant meeting of targets (Akinfolarin, 2017). Areas in administrative administration include; staff personnel administration, financial management students' personnel administration, record management, maintenance of facilities among others. According to Ikediugwu (2016), good administrative managers must carefully and effectively handle resources particularly money, material and machines including computers are lacking in Nigeria which thus calls for a hug evaluation as it relates to records management practices.

The availability of information for use by administrators to adjudicate judicial cases depends on the way records have been physically managed. Some of the information contained in judicial records includes case files, court registers, record book and case books. Generally speaking, the success of the judiciary in performing its administrative obligations largely depends on the use of documented information. Some of these records are historical while some are rhetorical or phenological in nature. Historical and legal records were the earliest forms of records to be created and used for making judicial decisions by the courts. Judicial records constitute an important class of public records especially in Nigeria. These records are created by the judiciary and other institutions such as the police, army, ministries, government agencies, corporate bodies and other private organizations or individuals. Records are vital to every aspect of governance process; they are valuable assets that need to be managed by any organization or institution. They fulfil an important function in the society by providing evidence and information about the transactions of individuals and organizations.

Dzifa Peggy Tagbotor et al. (2015) argued that records management is the concern information, it must flow throughout the organization in such a way that it can be tapped where it is needed. This can be possible in the presence of a good records management system

Records serve as a basis for review, study and evaluation of all happenings in the court. They serve as a communication link between an organization and its clients. In this context, Mohammed (2009) posited that the written record if accurate and complete is useful both to the institution and its clients in any transactions. Records could be in any physical format or media and must be kept in the context and structure in which they were created to maintain their usability, authenticity, reliability as well as integrity. It is a common knowledge that a basic concept in records management is the records life cycle. The life of a record goes

through phases starting from when it is created or received by institutions such as the judiciary, leading to records creation and capture, records maintenance, records access, records retention, records retrieval, records storage, records management policy before it can finally be destroyed or archived permanently according to the records retention or disposal policy of the organization.

The first stage or phase of the Records Life Cycle is creation and capture. Records are created or received through the daily transactions of an organization. For the judiciary the records that may be created or received can include printed reports, emails, phone messages, documents that detail the functions, policies, decisions or procedures of the organization which services as an evidence of transaction. The next stage of the records life cycle is the maintenance of records. These stages involve the filing, retrieving, duplication, printing, dissemination and use of the content or the information in the records. At the disposition or retention phase, records are evaluated to ascertain their relative value and level of inactivity in the organization. When records are evaluated for their value it signifies if they will be sent to the archives for continued management and use as a historical document of value or permanent disposal through destruction. Ile e tal. (2015) empirically noted that records management has attracted increasing attention in recent years as a result of growing sophistication of administrative practice in the increasing complexity of organizations, coupled with the enormous expansion of the quality of information. This means that in order to manage the life cycle of records in offices, secretaries should have the needed knowledge and competencies.

Records management practices is an area of necessity for every organization because it helps to deal with the transaction that covered the life cycle of records, which is from the creation of transaction, use, maintenance and disposition of the documents and records. According to Lawal (2018) records management practices influence the job performance of employees in an organization. In the context of judiciary, records management has the tendency of predicting the outcome of administrative effectiveness. Effective records management practices, according to Chinyemba and Ngulube (2012) involve establishing systematic control at every stage of the record's life cycle, in accordance with established principles and accepted models of records management. They further stressed that to effectively manage records, it must go through a life-cycle which consists of the creation and capture, classification in a logical system, maintenance and use, and disposition through destruction or transfer to an archives for long term storage.

According to Ayorinde (2014) standard records management practices are vital for effective administration in the Nigerian legal system, this involve planning for information needs, identifying records for processing, capturing information, creating, approving and enforcing policies and practices regarding records, developing a records storage plan which includes the short and long term, housing of physical records and digital information, coordinating access to records internally and outside of the organization, and disposition or retention of the records according to the policies guiding the management of judicial records. In all, it can be said that the whole essence of a good records management practices is to protect the interest of the institution and individuals that are linked with it. Besides, good records management practices serves as corporate memory, meant to support and serve as a guide for effective planning and decision making within the judicial system (Ndenje-sichalwe, 2011).

Statement of the Problem

In most countries around the world, the judiciary is granted the right to provide legal services to the citizenry of a society. They are expected to provide unbiased administration and uphold the rule of law in a democratic system. However, the judiciary has not been effective in the timely discharge of its responsibility as highlighted by Ndaguba et al (2018) argued that administrative effectiveness is versely affected by cronyism, cabalism, nepotism and sycophancy, including inadequate interaction of systems, institutions and structures are decried as the bane of Nigeria's underdevelopment, which have had adverse negative effects on the educational and judicial sectors thereby undermining the well-being of the poor. A preliminary observation by the researcher also showed that the level of administrative efforts in the Judiciary in Lagos State is not

good enough as demonstrated by gross delay in response time to applicants and litigants, coupled with poor team work, poor communication, inadequate staff commitment, accumulation of legal cases and low productivity.

Records management practices play an important role in the efficient, transparent, and accountable management of court processes and the capacity to influence administrative functions. Proper records management practices will ensure that there is prompt response to applicants and litigants as well as sufficient documentary evidence as it relates to the operations of the judiciary. Good records management practice may therefore ensure effective administrative process in the Judiciary when it comes to quick dispensation of justice but the challenges of administrative ineffectiveness in Lagos State Judiciary could be as a result of low records management practices. It is from these assertions that this study investigated the influence of records management practices and administrative effectiveness in Lagos State Judiciary, Nigeria.

Objective of the Study

The main objective of this study is to examine the influence of the records management practices on administrative effectiveness in Lagos State Judiciary. The specific objective are to:

1. determine the level of administrative effectiveness of the Lagos State Judiciary;

Research Questions

This study was guided by the one research questions:

1. What is the level of administrative effectiveness in the Lagos State Judiciary?

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a survey research design. The available records of the total population of administrative staff of the Lagos State judiciary showed that there are currently 328 staff working in the seven judicial commissions in Lagos state. The study population consisted of all the administrative staff working in the Lagos State judiciary. The study adopted a total enumeration or census approach due to the manageable size of the population. The instrument for data collection was a self-designed structured questionnaire. The analysis of the demographic and research question was done using frequency counts and percentage distribution, mean and standard deviation, with the aid of Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, Version 21

RESULTS

Research Question One: What is the level of administrative effectiveness in the Lagos State Judiciary?

Table 4.2: Level of administrative effectiveness in the Lagos State Judiciary

Kindly indicate the level of administrative effectiveness in terms of the followings:	Very low level (1)	Low level (2)	Moderate level (3)	High level (4)	Very High level (5)	Mean	Std.
Teamwork						4.38	0.73
Collaboration among sectional heads to accomplish tasks	0.8	1.2	18.9	21.0	58.1	4.44	0.77
Display of teamwork among junior staff in Lagos State judiciary	0.4	2.4	11.3	26.9	59.0	4.42	0.81
Participation in teamwork activities in the judiciary	0.4	1.6	9.3	36.4	52.3	4.39	0.76
Accomplishment of duties in team spirit in the judiciary	0.4	0.8	15.3	29.3	54.2	4.36	0.80
Collaboration among senior members of the judiciary staff	0.4		17.3	28.1	54.2	4.36	0.79
Collaboration among team leaders and members in accomplishing tasks	0.4	0.8	11.4	39.8	47.6	4.33	0.74
Coordination						4.36	0.63
Assignment of tasks by the judge for job co-ordination	0.4		16.1	38.2	55.3	4.48	0.65
Organization of all case files in the judiciary	0.4	0.4	8.2	38.7	52.3	4.42	0.70
Organization of work activities in the judiciary		0.4	9.4	40.8	49.4	4.39	0.67
Organization of activities from all units in the judiciary	0.8	0.4	9.9	39.5	49.4	4.36	0.74
Coordination of judicial efforts towards protecting the common man	0.8	0.4	10.6	42.5	45.7	4.32	0.74
Coordination of judiciary in responding to applicants and litigants	0.4	0.4	11.8	42.9	44.5	4.31	0.72
Timeliness						4.28	0.70
Timely accomplishment of tasks by high ranking staff	0.8	1.2	8.3	44.7	45.0	4.32	0.75
Early accomplishment of assigned duties by employees		0.8	13.6	41.2	44.4	4.29	0.73

Completion of assigned task within allotted time	1.2	2.1	7.9	44.6	44.2	4.29	0.80
Promptly handling of cases in the judiciary	0.8	0.8	11.4	45.4	41.6	4.26	0.76
Provision of quick response to applicants within record time	0.8	1.2	12.7	43.7	41.6	4.24	0.78
Timeliness of the judiciary in the resolution of disputes		1.2	12.9	46.5	39.4	4.24	0.72
Commitment						4.17	0.66
Possibility of staff in Lagos State judiciary spending the rest of their career in the judiciary		2.0	14.7	45.7	37.6	4.19	0.76
Willingness of staff in Lagos State judiciary to put in more effort than expected	0.8	2.9	11.8	49.4	35.1	4.15	0.80
Loyalty of staff towards the judiciary	0.8	2.5	13.3	47.9	35.5	4.15	0.80
Possibility of staff leaving Lagos State judiciary even for a better offer	12.6	14.2	13.0	30.0	30.2	3.51	1.38
Productivity						3.34	1.13
Job satisfaction rates of staff in Lagos	3.6	13.5	33.8	28.4	20.7	3.49	1.08
Attrition rates of staff in Lagos judiciary	4.5	12.1	42.4	23.2	17.8	3.38	1.05
Staff leaving before the work time is over	6.1	22.3	27.1	17.0	27.5	3.38	1.27
Staff arriving late to work	6.1	16.9	38.5	20.3	18.2	3.28	1.13
Absentee rates of staff in Lagos judiciary	8.3	19.3	33.4	17.5	21.5	3.25	1.23
Administrative Effectiveness (Overall Mean = 3.89; Std. = 0.77)							

*****Decision Rule: If mean falls between 1-1.80 = Very low; 1.81-2.60 = Low; 2.61-3.40 = Moderate; 3.41-4.20 = High; 4.21-5.0 = Very high.**

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistic result for research question one. The result showed that the administrative effectiveness in the Lagos State Judiciary was at a high level (overall mean score = 3.89), on a scale of 5. Administrative effectiveness was measured by five indicators (teamwork, coordination, timeliness, commitment and productivity). Of the five dimensions of administrative effectiveness, teamwork (average mean = 4.38) was highest while productivity was lowest (average mean = 3.34) on a scale of 5. This situation could be due to the fact that Lagos State judiciary performed highly in areas such as collaboration among sectional heads to accomplish tasks and junior staff, assigning tasks by the judge for job co-ordination, organization of all case files and teamwork among junior staff in Lagos State judiciary. These results suggests that Lagos State judiciary can sustain administrative effectiveness by putting policies in place to promote collaboration, co-ordination, organization of all case files and teamwork among staff.

Discussion of Findings

Research question one sought to find out the level of administrative effectiveness in the Lagos State Judiciary. The result showed that the level of administrative effectiveness in the Lagos State Judiciary was high. This finding is in agreement with previous studies by Amah et al (2013) and Karsli Sahin (2015). The finding of this study is in line with Amah et al (2013) who found out that administrative effectiveness is the ability to manage resources, organize people, information, knowledge and set time to accomplish goals. Karsli and Sahin (2015) opined that administrative effectiveness involves adaptation, goal attainment, integration, and sustaining organizational values. However, this finding disagrees with Enahwo and Eferaka (1989) who concluded that the judiciary has not been effective in the timely discharge of its responsibility. He further argued that, administrative effectiveness encompassed timeliness, teamwork, coordination and communication which have been lacking in the judicial sector. The result supports the finding of Rasul and Islam (2017) who examined the performance and effectiveness of village court in Bangladesh. The finding from their study revealed initially court system was partially effective and the grassroots people had an easy access to justice and the verdict of the court could resolve the local conflicts and disputes. However, they found that there are no administrative units at the village level in Bangladesh which meant lack of administrative effectiveness.

Another major finding in this study was the high level of the dimensions of administrative effectiveness namely: teamwork, coordination, timeliness and commitment. This finding is in agreement with previous studies by Adeniyi (2014), and Enahwo and Eferakeye (1989). For example, Adeniyi (2014) asserted that the indicators of administrative effectiveness should involve openness, agreeableness and conscientiousness while Enahwo and Eferakeye (1989) reasoned that administrative effectiveness encompasses timeliness, team work, coordination and communication. These indicators are in congruence with the administrative duties expected of a judicial staff since not all indicators of management can be applied to the judiciary with regard to the nature of their calling. This finding also supports the guidelines provided by Administration of Justice Commission Act (2004) concerning coordination in the administration of justice. The Acts stipulated that, the Commission shall be charged with the general supervision of the administration of justice in Nigeria; the courts system in Nigeria is generally maintained and adequately financed; criminal matters are speedily dealt with, among others. This finding also corroborates the report of Adeniyi and Omotosho (2014) that teamwork is characterized by the shared understanding of common goals together with the ability to accomplish given task in the organization. Consequently, it is pertinent for the management of judiciary in Lagos to give attention to sustaining the dimensions of administrative effectiveness viz. teamwork, coordination and timeliness, commitment. However, it is also important for the administrators of judiciary in Lagos to give attention to improving the productivity of staff in the study area.

CONCLUSION

The study has succeeded in investigating records management practices and administrative effectiveness of Lagos State Judiciary. The study specifically concludes that records management practices is elements that can influence the administrative effectiveness of staff in the Lagos State Judiciary. Specifically, based on the findings, this study concludes that the high level of administrative effectiveness of Lagos State Judiciary may be as a result of high level of teamwork, coordination and timeliness in the discharge of administrative duties. The Judiciary have a strong administration as observed in the level of collaborations in the organization.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations is made:

1. Administrative effectiveness needs to be sustained by ensuring continuous improvement of records management practices, conducive work environment at all time at the Lagos State Judiciary.

REFERENCES

- Abioye, A. A. (2007). Fifty years of archives administration in Nigeria: lessons for the future. *Records Management Journal*, 17 (1), 52-62. (Retrieved from: <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/0956-5698.htm>).
- Abioye, A. A. & Habila, J. R. (2004). Records management practices in colleges of education in Nigeria: A study of Federal College of Education, Yola and College of Education, Jalingo. *Gateway Library Journal*, 7(2), 68-79.
- Abubakar, A. (2001). Functions of legislature: Kaduna state house of assembly. Hansards: KSHA.
- Abubakar, L. (2014). Management and utilisation of Judicial records in Federal high courts in Northwestern States of Nigeria. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 147, 32-38.
- Adeniyi, O.I., & Omotoso B. A. (2014). Emotional intelligence and administrative effectiveness of secondary school principals in Southwestern Nigeria. *International Journal of Psychology and Behavioural Sciences*, 4, 79-85.
- Adeyemi, T.O., & Ademilua S.O. (2012). Conflict management strategies and administrative effectiveness in Nigerian universities. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies*, 3(3), 368-375.
- Adikwu C. C. (2007). Basic Archival Terminology and Setting up Records Centres. *Ahmadu Bello University, Samaru Zaria*. Asekome and Co. Administration of Justice Commission Act, (2004).
- Agere, S., Lemieux, V. & Mazikana, P. (1999). Better information practices: improving records and information management in the public service. London: *Commonwealth Secretariat*.
- Ahmed, B., & Bitrus, B. (2010). Records and information management for effective governance; international conference with the theme: management of information in democratic dispensation. *Organized by the Department of Library and Information Science A.B.U. Zaria*.
- Ajewole, G. A. (2000). Preservation and management of information and records in Jide Ayeni (Ed.) *Information and Records Management in Nigeria*
- Akinfolarin, A. V. (2017). Time management strategies as a panacea for principals' administrative effectiveness in secondary schools in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Journal for Studies in Management and Planning*, 3(9), 22-31.
- Amah, E. Daminabo-Weje, M. & Dosunmu, R. (2013). Size and organizational effectiveness: maintaining a balance. *Advances in Management and Economics*, 3(5), 115-123.
- Amah, E., Daminabo-Weje, M., & Dosunmu, R. (2013). Size and organizational effectiveness: Maintaining a balance. *Advances in Management and Applied Economics*, 3(5), 115.
- Analoui, F. (2007). *Strategic Human Resource Management*. London: *Thompson Learning*.
- Analoui, F. (2007). *Strategic human resource management*. London: *Thompson Learning*.
- Anjum, M. E. (2013). Judicial Performance Evaluations by State Governments: Informing the Public While Avoiding the Pitfalls. *The Justice System Journal*, 21 (3), 333-347.
- Asogwa, B. E. & Ifeanyi J. E (2012). The challenges of preservation of archives and records in the electronic age. *PNLA Quarterly*, 76(3). (Retrieved from <http://unlib.unl.edu/LPP/PNLA%20Quarterly/asogwa-ezema76-3.pdf>).
- Atulomah, B.C. (2011). Perceived Records Management Practices and Decision-making Among University Administrators in Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice*. (Retrieved from <Http://unlib.un/.ed/lpp>).
- Azman, M. I. (2009), Records management and the accountability of governance. (*University of Glasgow, PhD Thesis*).
- Baba, M. & Olugbeja, S. (2012). The need to introduce modern records management operation in Nigeria: *Memorandum submitted by the Federal Director of Culture and Archives to the Conference of Minister/Commissioners Responsible for Information, Social Development, Youth, Sports and Culture. Owerri, March, p. 18*.
- Bashir, J. Nasir, F., Oayum, B., & Bashir H (2012). The records life cycle: An inadequate concept for technology-generated records. 76

- Baughman, O. Dinardi, S. & Holtz, M. (2003). Managing legal records, in Michael Roper, general editor, managing public sector records: A study programme
London: *International records management trust*. (Retrieved from <http://www.irmt.org/educationTrainMaterials.html>)
- Blake, R. (2014). *Benefits of records management*. (Retrieved from <http://www.dummies.com/how-to/content/benefits-of-records-management.html>).
- Brendan E. Asogwa, (2012). The challenge of managing electronic records in developing countries: Implications for records managers in sub Saharan Africa. *Records Management Journal*, 22 (3), 198–211.
- Brill, H. & Weidemann, L. (2001). The records management challenges of amalgamation. *Municipal Monitor, June/July: 1-7*.
- Brooks, P. C. (1996). The life cycle concept and the development of Federal Records Centres. *The Records*, 2 (4), 12–13 20–21.
- Broughton, D. Higgins, M., Hicks, G. & Cox, R. (2010). Are judicial performance evaluations fair to women and minorities? A cautionary tale from Clark County, Nevada. *Law and Society Review*, 45 (3), 731-59.
- Chaddha, V., Ravi P. G., & Noida, G. (2011) Analysis of factors influencing employees' productivity in relation to workplace environment. *International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management*, 2 (5). (Retrieved from <http://ijrcm.org.in/uploaddata/11304738350.pdf>).
- Chernis, M., & Kane, F. (2004). Research on the caseload management of courts: methodological questions. *Utrecht Law Review*, 7(1), 66-73. (Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.18352/ulr.147>).
- Chinyemba, A., & Ngulube, P. (2005). Managing records at higher education institutions: a case study of the University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg Campus. *South African Journal of Information Management*, 7(1). (Retrieved from <http://www.sajim.co.za/index.php/SAJIM/article/view/250/241>).
- Chinyemba, A. (2002). Management of university records: A case of the University of Natal. (*MIS Thesis, University of Natal*).
- Chinyemba, N. S., & Ngulube, P. (2012). Electronic records management in the public health sector of the Limpopo province in South Africa. *Journal of the South African Society of Archivists*, 45, 39-67.
- Christenes, M. R. (2002). Archival legislation for commonwealth countries. Cairns, Queensland: association of commonwealth archivists and records managers. (Retrieved from http://www.acarm.org/oid%5Cdownloads%5C4%5C1_1_3_41_05_PM_Legislation%20Report.pdf).
- Clements C, (2015). The use of judicial performance evaluation to enhance judicial accountability. *Denver University Law Review*, 86 (1), 115-56. (Retrieved from http://www.law.du.edu/documents/128omali-university-law-review/v86_i1_brody.pdf).
- Cooper, U., & Dewe, G. (2004). Opportunities for new approaches to judging in a conventional context: Attitudes, skills and practices. *Monash University Law Review*, 37 (1), 187-215.
- Cowling, C. (2003) Records management manual. London: *University of London*.
- Cox, P. A. (2001). Re-discovering the archival mission: The recordkeeping functional requirements project at the university of Pittsburgh, *a progress report*.
- Crockett, M. (2016). The no-nonsense guide to archives and recordkeeping. London. Facet Publishing.
- Cushing (2013). A Balance of Primary and Secondary Values: Exploring a Digital Legacy. *International Journal of Knowledge Content Development & Technology*, Vol.3, No.2, 67-94.
- De Wet, S. & Du Toit, A. (2000). The challenges of implementing a record management system at the national electricity regulation South Africa. *Records Management Journal*, 10(2), 73-86.
- Demet L. (2012) Impact of workplace quality on employee's productivity: case study of a bank in Turkey. *Journal of Business, Economics & Finance 1 (1)*.

- Deming, G. (2010). Document imaging and electronic content management. key challenges of paper-based information. Canon Business Process Services, INC. 70 (Retrieved from <http://blog.cbps.canon.com/the-digital-domain/key-challenges-of-paper-based-information/>).
- Demirtel, H., & Gökkurt B. Ö. (2014). Efficiency of electronic records management systems: Turkey and example of Ministry of Development. *Procedia–Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 147, 189-196.
- Dressler & Huat, T. (2009). Judicial evaluations and information forcing: Ranking state high courts and their judges. *Duke Law Journal*, 58, 1313.
- Duff, W. (1996). Warrant and the definition of electronic records: questions arising from the Pittsburgh project. *Archives and Museum Informatics*, 11(3), 223-231.
- Duranti, L. (2010). Concepts and principles for the management of electronic records, or records management theory is archival diplomatics. *Records Management Journal*, 20 (1), 78-95. (Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/095656910110398>).
- Duranti, L., Suderman, J., & Todd, M. (2008). A framework of principles for the development of policies, strategies, and standards for the long-term preservation of digital records. *Inc. EFCC Magazine*, 2008:48 and *ICPC Monitor*.
- Eberendu, A. C., Akpan, E. O., Ubani, E. C., & Ahaiwe, J. (2018). A Methodology for the Categorisation of Software Projects in Nigeria Based on Performance. *Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science*, 1-9.
- Egwunyenga, E. J. (2005). Essentials of school administration. Benin City: *Justice Jeco Publishers*.
- Egwunyenga, E. J. (2009). Record-keeping in universities: Associated problems and management options in South-West Geo-political Zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Educational Science*, (1), 109-113.
- Emeka, N. M. (2012). Conflict Resolution and Management Strategies on Organisational Performance of Federal Polytechnics in South-East, Nigeria. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 17 (3) 360-371.
- Enaohwo, B., & Eferkeya, M. (2009). Effectiveness of supervision and inspection in selected secondary schools in Kiambu District (*Unpublished Master Thesis, Kenyatta University*).
- Erlandsson, A. (1997). Electronic records management: A literature review. Paris: *International Council on Archives*.
- ERPWG (2004). Barriers to the effective Management of government information on the internet and other electronic records: A report of the interagency committee government information. *Draft Prepared by Dr. Michael J. K Urtz, Hair*.
- Esse, U.O. (2002). Preservation and management of public records: the role of federal and state governments: *Proceedings of the Inaugural Meeting of National Committee on Archival Development*, 18-19, 8
- Fatima, K., Atif, L., Saqib, M., & Haider, A. (2012). Judicial Evaluation in Context: Principles, Practices and Promises in Nine European Countries. *European Journal of Law and Society*, 1 (2), 1-40.
- Federal Republic of Nigeria (1999). The federal constitution of Nigeria. federal military government of Nigeria. *National Archives Decree, Lagos*.
- Federspiel, S., Liu, N., Lahiff, R., Faulkner, K., Dibartolomeo, D., & Fisk, O. (2002). Judicial Independence and Civic Education. *Utah Bar Journal*, 22 (5), 10-9.
- Fisk, O., & Lei, M. (2006). Judicial performance review in Arizona: goals, practical effects and concerns. *Arizona State Law Journal*, 30, 643.
- Ghazzawi, Z. (2008). Record-keeping and Accountability: (Retrieved from <http://www.ghanaweb.com/GhanaHomePage/NewsArchive/artikel.php?ID=168079>).
- Gibson, J.L., Ivancevich, J.M., & Donnelly, J. H. (2014). Organisation: behaviour, structure and processes. Boston, Sidney: *Irvin, Inc*
- Gill, N. (2011). Are judicial performance evaluations fair to women and minorities? a cautionary tale from clark county. *Nevada. Law and Society Review*, 45 (3), 731-59.
- Glencross, B. & Walters, S. (2000). Electronic records management in Malaysia: The need for an organizational and legal framework. *Records Management Journal*, 11(2), 97-109.

- Gunnlaugsdottir, J. (2002). An international standard on records management: An Opportunity for Librarians. *Libri*, 52, 231- 240.
- Hameed, O., & Amjad, A. (2009). Independent clearing house for Nigeria's Justice sector. (Retrieved from <http://www.lawnigeria.com/judicature/statejudicatureandjudiciary>).
- Hanssen, N. (2004). Employee commitment in times of radical organisational changes. *Economics and Organisation*, 2 (2).
- Hanssen, O. (1999). Alternatives to prison in developing countries: Some lessons from Africa. *Punishment and Society*, 1, (2), 231 – 242.
- Harald, A. (2005). The development and implementation of an access standard at Archives New Zealand. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 32(1), 74-87. (Retrieved from <http://search.informit.com.au/documentSummary;dn=200407663;res=IELAPA>).
- Harvard's Records Management Services. (2012). Controlling costs and promoting efficiency. (Retrieved from <http://library.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/ControllingCostsAndPromotingEfficiency>).
- Harvey, M., Heames, R., Richey, K., & Leonard, I. (2006). Principles for archives and records legislation. (Retrieved from <http://www.ica.org/download.php?id=1603>)
- Hassan, M., Toylan, N., V., Semerciöz, F., & Aksel, I. (2012) Interpersonal trust and its role in organizations. *International Business Research*, 5(8). (Retrieved from <http://ccsenet.org/journal/index.php/ibr/article/viewFile/18465/12565>).
- Haynes, M. (2008). A framework of principles for the development of policies, strategies, and standards for the long-term preservation of digital records.
- Haynes, R. M. (2007). Archives and privacy in a wired world: the impact of the personal information act on Archives. (Retrieved from <http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12839/14060>).
- Henriksen, H. Z., & Andersen, K. V. (2008). Electronic records management systems: Implementation in the Pakistani local government. *Records Management Journal*, 18 (1), 40 – 52. (Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09565690810858505>).
- Hiriyappa, M. C. (2008). Understanding the context of records creation and use: 'Hard' versus 'soft' approaches to records management. *Archival Science*, 10(4), 389-407.
- Hofstede, G. (1983). National Cultures in Four Dimensions: A Research-Based Theory of Cultural Differences among Nations. *International Studies of Management and Organization, Taylor & Francis, Ltd: 13. 1/2, 46-74*.
- Igwoku, I. F. (2008). An analysis of record management strategies in western Nigeria. (*Unpublished Thesis*).
- Igwoku, I. F. (2008). An analysis of record management strategies in western Nigeria. (*Masters Dissertation, Abraka, Delta State University*).
- Ijaduola, K. O. (2006). The role of purpose and structure in organizational effectiveness. *J. Bus. Admin.* 1(1),19-20.
- Ikediugwu, N. P. (2016). Administrative and managerial skills for effective secondary school management. *Unizik Journal of educational management and policy*, 1 (1), 1, 7.
- Ilana, W. (2008). Common problems faced by record management. (Retrieved from http://www.ehow.com/list_7426188_common-problems-faced-record-management).
- Ile, C. M. (2015). Competencies Required of Secretaries to Manage Records Life Cycle in Government Offices in Anambra State, Nigeria, *International Journal of Arts and Humanities IJAH* 4(3).
- Infokits, M. J. (2007). T Aligning records management and risk management with business processes. *Records Management Journal*. 4(5), 24-38.
- International Records Management Trust (IRMT). (2003). E-records readiness: Establishing e-records as a component of electronic government. London.

- Ismail, F., Salimin, R. H., & Ismail, R. (2012). The organisational environment-behaviour factor's towards safety culture development. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 35, 611–618. (Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.02.128>).
- Iwhiwhu, E. B. (2005). Management of records in Nigerian universities: Problems and prospects. *The Electronic Library*, 23 (3), 351.
- J'Istvan, N K. (2010). Authoritarian legality and informal practices: Judges, lawyers and the state in Russia and China. *Communist and Post-Communist Studies*, 43(4), 351-62.
- Jandaghi, G. Mokhles, A. & Bahrami, H. (2011). The impact of job security on employees' commitment and job satisfaction in Qom municipalities. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5 (16), 6853-6858.
- Johare, Rusnah, Nurussobah, Hussin and Adnan, J. (2011). Management of court records: functional requirements framework for electronic record keeping system. *Asia Pacific Conference Library & Information Education & Practice*, 478. (Retrieved from http://eprints.ptar.uitm.edu.my/3524/1/SP_MOC_11_51.pdf).
- Johnson, L., Lenartowicz, M., & Apud, T. (2006). Age and tenure of the justices and productivity of the U.S. Supreme Court: Are term limits necessary? *Florida State University Law Review*, 34(1), 161-181.
- Judiciary, Kerrigan (2010). The nature of the nexus between recordkeeping and the law. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 26 (2), 216-247.
- Kalu, N (2018). Records management practices, organizational culture and Administrative effectiveness of registry staff of national open University of Nigeria, North-Central Nigeria.
- Kalu, U. C. (2018). Separation of Powers in Nigeria: An Anatomy of Power Convergences and Divergences, *Journal of international law and Jurispedence*, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.
- Kalusopa, T. (2011). Developing an e-records readiness framework for labour organisations in Botswana (*PhD Thesis, University of South AfricaPretoria*).
- Kanzi, N. (2010). An investigation of the role of records management with specific reference to amathole district municipality (*Masters Dissertation, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University*).
- Karasek, D., & Theorell, M. (1990). In court judicial behaviour, gender and legitimacy. *Griffith Law Review*, 21 (3), 728-751.
- Kargbo, J. A. (2009). The connection between good governance and recordkeeping: The Sierra Leone Experience. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 30(2), 249-260.
- Karsli, M. D., & Sahin, S. (2015). A research, for measuring, administering effectiveness. *Kamla-Raj Anthropologist*, 19 (2), 479-489.
- Karsli, M. D., & Sahin, S. (2015). A research for measuring administrative effectiveness. *The Anthropologist*, 19(2), 479-489.
- Kazmi R., Amjad, S., & Khan, D. (2008). Occupational stress and its effect on job performance: A case study of medical house officers of district Abbottabad. *J. Ayub Med. Coll. Abbotabad*, 20(3), 135-139.
- Kelloway, B., Lori, R., Matthew, F., & James, O. (2010). Records acquisition strategy and its theoretical foundation: the case for a concept of archival hermeneutics. *Archivaria*. (Retrieved from <http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11797/12748>).
- Kemoni, H., & Ngulube, P. (2008). Relationship between records management, public service delivery and the attainment of the united nations millennium development goals in Kenya. *Information Development*, 24(4) 296–306. (Retrieved from <http://idv.sagepub.com/content/24/4/296.full.pdf>).
- Kemoni, H.N, Ngulube, P., & Stilwell, C. (2006). Public records and archives as tools for good governance: reflections within the records keeping Scholarly and practitioner communities. *Records Management*, 26, 3-19.
- Kemoni, H. N. (2007). Records management practice and service delivery in Kenya. (*PhD Thesis, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg*).

- Kemoni, M., & Wamukoya, R. (2000). Legal records in the commonwealth. U.K, *Dartmouth Publishing Co. Ltd*, p. 15.
- Kilimwiko, L. (2019). Tanzania: New railway set to be the new regional gateway. *African Business Magazine*, (Retrieved from <https://africanbusinessmagazine.com/sectors/infrastructure/tanzania-new-railway-set-to-be-the-new-regional-gateway/>).
- Korman, N. O. (1999). Managing legal records in Michael roper, general editor, managing public.
- Korman, G. (2007). Management of court records: functional requirements framework for electronic recordkeeping system. *Asia Pacific Conference Library and Information Education and Practice*. (Retrieved from http://eprints.ptar.uitm.edu.my/3524/1/SP_MOC11_51.pdf).
- Ladan, A. (2014). Management and utilisation of Judicial records in Federal high courts in Northwestern States of Nigeria. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 147, 32-38.
- Lambert, H. (2005). Enhancing our self-perception: 360-degree feedback for judicial officers. *Journal of Judicial Administration*, 21 (1), 3-7.
- Langemo, R. (1999). The fundamentals of record management. *Office Systems*, 16(1), 30-35.
- Larsen, M., Adams, R., Deal, T., Kweon, S., & Tyler, J. (1998). Judicial performance review in arizona: goals, practical effects and concerns. *Arizona State Law Journal*, 30 (3), 643-759.
- Mabala, S. P. (2018). Public administration system, waves of reform thinking, public management reform models and the trajectory of financial management reform in United Kingdom (UK).
- Makhura, M. M. (2005). The contribution of records management towards an organization's competitive performance (*Ph.D. University of Johannesburg*).
- Mäkinen, S. & Henttonen, P. (2011). Motivations for records management in mobile work, *Records Management Journal*, 21 (3), 188–204. (Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09565691111186867>).
- Manu, C.A. (2015). The effects of work environment on employees productivity in government organizations. a case study of Obuasi municipal assembly .(*Masters. Thesis, Submitted To Kwame Nkrumah University Of Science And Technology, Kumasi*).
- Mark, C. (2001). Records and information resource management in public service: *A theoretical and practical framework*. Lagos: *Administrative Staff College of Nigeria (ASCON)*.
- Martin, J. S. (1992). Building an information resource centre for competitive intelligence. *Online Review*, 16(6), 379-389.
- Masaiti, H., & Naluyele, C. (2011). Reframing the independence v accountability debate: defining judicial structure in light of judge's courage and integrity. *Cleveland State Law Review*, 57 (1), 1-33.
- Michel, F., & Marie-Noelle A. (2015). From human resource to human being: Toward persons management. *Sage Journals*.
- Mashburn, R. (2001). From access to justice to managing justice: The transformation of the judicial role. *Journal of Judicial Administration*, 12 (1), 5-24.
- Mathew, C. (2003). Meeting the needs of the nations. In *Service to the Legal Profession, Nigeria: The Council of Legal Education for Commemoration of 40 Anniversary Celebration of Law School (1963 – 2003)*.
- Mayer, N. (2011). Measuring court performance. *Journal of Judicial Administration*, 16 (2), 69-80.
- Mazikana, P. (1990). Better information practices: improving records and information management in the public sector. London: *Commonwealth Secretariat*.
- McKemmish, S. (1997). Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow: A continuum of responsibility. *Proceedings of the Records Management Association of Australia*. (RMAA), 14th National Convention, Perth. September 15-17.
- McLeod, J., & Childs, S. (2007). Records management capacity and compliance toolkits. *Records Management Journal*, 17 (3), 216-232.

- Mokhtar, U.A. & Yusof, Z.M. (2009). Electronic records management in the Malaysian public sector: the existence of policy. *Records Management Journal*, 19 (3). 231 -244. (Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09565690910999201>).
- Moldovan, O., & Bucătariu, G. (2019). Effectiveness and efficiency of administrative appeal procedures: A case study on tax disputes in Romania. *Central European Public Administration Review*, 17(2), 9–33.
- Moloi, J., & Mutula, S. (2007). E-records management in an e-government setting in *Botswana Information Development*, 23(4), 290-306.
- Moloi, J. 2009. E-records readiness in the public sector in Botswana. *ESARBICA Journal*, 28, 105-127.
- Motsaatheba, L., & Mnjama, N. (2007). The management of high court records in Botswana. *Records Management Journal*, 19(3), 173-189.
- Motsaatheba, L., & Mnjama, N. (2007). The management of High Court Records in Botswana. *Records Management Journal*, 19(3), 173-189.
- Motsaathebe, L., & Mnjama, N. (2009). Managing court records: A survey of record keeping practices in selected countries. *Mousaion*, 28 (2), 132-153.
- Mrwebi, S. (2000). Records management in a management consulting firm. Johannesburg: *Rand Afrikaans University*.
- Muafi, H. (2011). Robust standard errors for panel regressions with cross-sectional dependence. *Stata Journal*, 7 (3), 281-312.
- Muafia, A. S. (2011). Quality of judicial organisation and checks and balances (PhD Thesis, University Utrecht). (Retrieved from <http://dspace.library.uu.nl/bitstream/handle/1874/21459/full.pdf>).
- Muchinsky, A. (2005). *Electronic access to court records, news media and the law. reporters committee for freedom of the press, high beam research*. (Retrieved from <http://www.highbeam.com/doc/1P3-1304397411.html>).
- Muhammad, M. Samina, N. Basharat, N. & Rizwan, D. (2010). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment of university teachers in public sector of Pakistan. *International Journal of Business & Management*, 5 (6).
- Mukembo, D. (2012). Assessment of the contribution of records management in administration of justice in Uganda (Master of Science in Information Science of Makerere University). (Retrieved from <http://www.armaedfoundation.org/pdfs>).
- Musembi, M. (2005). Efficient records management as a basis of good governance. (Retrieved from http://africa.peacelink.org/wajibu/articles/art_9633.html).
- Muthaura, P. (2003). The Limits of Judicial Accountability: The role of judicial performance evaluation. *Legal Ethics*, 6 (1), 55-72.
- Mwbex, J. (2010). Reconciling independence and accountability in judicial systems. *Utrecht Law Journal*, 3 (2), 26-43.
- Mwendwa, P., McAuliffe, E., Uduma, O., Masanja, H., & Mollel, H. (2017). The impact of supportive supervision on the implementation of HRM processes; a mixed-methods study in Tanzania. *Health Systems and Policy Research*, 4(1).
- Namie, A. & Namie, R. (2004). Why judicial elections stink. *Ohio State Law Journal* 64 (1), 43-79.
- National Archives and Records Service of South Africa (2007). Managing e-mailed records and the law: What governmental bodies need to know? *Advisory pamphlet no. 2. Pretoria*. 72.
- National Archives Decree. (1992). *Principles of archival legislation and regulation. Paris: Mouton and Co.*
- National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), 2017, *National poverty profile, NBS, Abuja*.
- Ndaguba, E., Ijeoma, E., Nebi, G. & Chungang, A (2018). Assessing the effect of Inadequate service provision on the quality of life of the poor: A focus on justice and education in Nigeria. *Journal of Cogent Social Sciences*. (Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311886.2018.1526437>).

- Ndenje-sichalwe, E. (n.d.). The significance of records management to fostering accountability in the public service reform programme of accountability in the public service reform.
- Ndenje-Sichalwe, E., Ngulube, P. (2011). Managing records as a strategic resource in the government ministries of Tanzania. *Information Development*, 27(4), 264-279.
- Needham, C. (2003). Straddling the fence between truth and pretence: the role of law and preference in judicial decision-making and the future of judicial independence. *Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics and Public Policy*, 22 (2), 435-450.
- Nelen, B., Grip, E. & Fourage, B. (2011). Judicial Independence and Judicial Accountability: How Judicial Evaluations can Support and Enhance Both. *Duquesne Law Review*, 48 (4), 909-928
- Nengomasha, C. (2009). Managing public sector records in namibia: a proposed model. *Information Development*, 25(2), 112-126.
- Newton, C. (1989). Future of records management. In: Peter Emmerson (ed.). How to manage my records: a guide to effective practice. Cambridge: *ICSA Publishing*.
- Ngoepe, M., & Keakopa, M. (2011). An assessment of state of national archival and records systems in the ESARBICA region: a South Africa- Botswana comparison. *Records Management Journal*, 21(2), 145-160.
- Ngoepe, M. & Van der Walt, T. (2009). An exploration of records management trends in the South African public sector. *Mousaion*, 27 (1), 116-136.
- Ngoepe, M. (2004). Accountability, transparency and good governance: The National archives and records service of South Africa's role in helping government to better service delivery. *Paper read at LIASA's 7th Annual Conference in Polokwane, SA*.
- Ngoepe, M. (2008). An exploration of records management trends in the South African public sector: a case study of provincial and local government (*Masters Degree dissertation, University of South Africa, Pretoria*).
- Ngoepe, M. 2004. Accountability, transparency and good governance: the National Archives and Records Service of South Africa? Role in helping government to better service delivery. *Pa-per read at LIASA's 7th Annual Conference in Polokwane, SA*.
- Ngulube, P., & Tafor, V. F. (2006). The management of public records and archives in the member countries of ESARBICA. *Journal of Society of Archivists*, 1, 57-83.
- Nnaemeka-Agu, K. (1994). Towards a national archives policy: The local scene. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 7(4), 224-229.
- Nsambu, H. (2008). *Courts among top corrupt institutions. The new vision*. (Retrieved from <http://www.newvision.co.ug/PA/8/12/624288>).
- Obikeze, P., & Obi, K. (2004). Classical theory in criminal justice system. (Retrieved from [ehow.com http://www.ehow.com/facts](http://www.ehow.com/facts)).
- Oden, S. L. (2018). Limiting First-Time In-Court Eyewitness Identifications: An Analysis of State v. Dickson. *Quinnipiac L. Rev.*, 36, 327.
- Ogundiya, B. O. (2012). Justice according to law. Ibadan, *Spectrum Books*.
- Ogunsheye, A. F. (1976). The records of civilizations: An inaugural lecture delivered at the University of Ibadan. Ibadan: *Ibadan University Press*. 1-6
- Ogunye, J (2011). A new Nigerian judiciary is necessary. *Sahara Reporters Commentary*, 14:29.
- Oketunji, M. A. (2000). Records management programme in Nigeria: A survey of the Nigeria television authority Ibadan (*Unpublished MLS project Department of LARIS, University of Ibadan*).
- Okenyodo, K, (2018). Judicial oversight in Nigeria – Challenges and opportunities. *Published by Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung*. Printed by: Muhamasaid Commercial Press.
- Olonisakin, T. T., Ogunleye, A. J., & Adebayo, S. O. (2017). The Nigeria criminal justice system and its effectiveness in criminal behaviour control: A Social-psychological analysis. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 22(2), 33-48.

- Opperman, R. (2002). Judicial performance evaluation, promotion and salary packaging. *The Judicial Review*, 5 (4), 335-357.
- Osawe, C. (2017). Time management: An imperative factor to effective service delivery in the Nigeria public service. *International Journal of Development and Management Review (INJODEMAR)* .12 No. 1 June, 2017.
- Osezua, W. Daniel, M. & Emmanuel, S. (2009). Judicial performance evaluations by state governments: informing the public while avoiding the pitfalls. *The Justice System Journal*, 21 (3), 333-347.
- Otuama S. (2010). Problems faced in archives and records management in Kenya. (Retrieved from <http://informationscienceblog.blogspot.com/2010/06/problems-faced-in-archives-andrecords.html>).
- Painoli, L., & Joshi, G. (2013). The use of judicial performance evaluation to enhance judicial accountability. *Denver University Law Review*, 86 (1), 115-56. (Retrieved from http://www.law.du.edu/documents/135omali-university-law-review/v86_i1_brody.pdf
- Pember, M. (2006). Sorting out standards: What every records and information professional should know. *Records Management Journal* 16(1), 21-33.
- Penn, I., Pennix, G. & Coulson, J. (1994). *Records management handbook*. (2nd ed). Aldershot: Gower.
- Place, I. & Hyssop, E. L. (1982). Filing and records management. Englewood cliffs, prentice Hall pp. 6-13.
- Popoola S. O. & Oluwole D. A. (2007). Career commitment among records management personnel in a state civil service in Nigeria. *Records Management Journal*, 17(2).
- Popoola, S.O. (2000). Records management programmes in Nigeria: A survey of the Ogun state civil service. *Nigeria Library*, 34(1).
- Public Procurement Oversight Authority (2008). Procurement records management procedures manual.
- Qianfan, Z. (2018). Cross-industry collaboration and word-of-mouth communication: The Effect of User Experience and Product Innovativeness Taking Enterprise Collaboration under “Internet Plus” for Example. *Management Review*, 30(9), 132.
- Ramlee, N. N., Yatin, S.F., Md Zali1, M., Mohd-Zain, N.A., Bakar, A. Saman, W.S., Yaacob, R. A (2018). The requirement of records management practices for institutional audits. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7 (37), 228-231.
- Rasul. G. & Islam, T (2017). Performance and effectiveness of village court in Somalia: A comparative study in two unions between project and non-project area. *Journal of South Asian Studies*, 5 (01), 27-37.
- Records Management Policy Framework (2000). A chip by any other name would still be a potato: The failure of law and its definitions to keep pace with computer technology. *Texas Tech Law Review*, 24(3), 797-829.
- Reed, B. (2005). Records in archives: record keeping in society. Centre for Information Studies, Wagga, NSW. (Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/eb027111>).
- Reeves, H. & Baden, S. (2000). Gender and development: concepts and definitions. Brighton, UK: *Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex, Report*.
- Robek, M., Brown, G., & Maeddke, W. (1987). Information and records management et al.
- Rose, L. & Ahrens, M. (1996). The diversification of the federal bench: policy and process ramifications. *Journal of Politics*, 47, 596-617.
- Sajuyigbe, B. Olaoye, S. & Adeyemi, J. (2013). *Assessment of the contribution of records management in administration of justice in Uganda (A research proposal submitted to ARMA as a requirement for a Graduate scholarship for a Master of Science in Information Science of Makerere University)*.
- Sebina, P.M.M. (2004). Freedom of Information, Records management and good governance, any symbolic relationship? *Journal of the Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Branch of the International Council on Archives*, 23 (1). 45-50.
- Seppanen, K. Fisk, M. & Lei, F.(2006). *Reflections in response to the Nevada judicial education pilot project*. Las Vegas, NV.

- Shamsudin, B., Subramaniam, H., & Ibrahim, B. (2011). Judicial performance review in arizona: A critical assessment. *Oñati Socio-legal Series*, 4 (5), 927-952. (Retrieved from <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2533868>).
- Sharma, J., Tyagi, A., & Dhar, R. L. (2016). Police culture, tourists and destinations: A study of Uttarakhand, India. *Tourism Management*, 52, 563-573.
- Sheldon, G., & Lovrich, S. (1991). "Gender and Professional Identity: Unexplored Issues in Judicial Performance Evaluation." *Judges' Journal* 39 (2):13-6.
- Shepherd, E. and Ennion, E. (2007). How has the Implementation of the UK Freedom of Information Act. 2000 affected archives and records management services? *Records Management Journal*, 17(1), 32-51.
- Shermon, V. (2011). Courts under pressure: The growing threat to impartial justice. *Syracuse Law Review*, 59 (3), 371-382.
- Sichalwe, E.D., Ngulube, P., & Stilwell, C. (2011). Managing records as a strategic resource in the government ministries of Tanzania. *Information Development* 24(4), 264-279.
- Sing, T. F. (2002). Impact of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) on Office Demand in Singapore CBD, *Presentation to Association for Project Management (APM)*.
- Smith, H. (2011). The institutional diffusion of courts in china: *Evidence from survey data*. Cambridge and New York.
- Spector, K., & Beer, T. (1994). Organizational legitimacy under conditions of complexity: the case of the multinational enterprise. *The Academy of Management Review* 24(1), 64-81.
- Sun, B. (2015). Government connections and financial constraints: Evidence from a large representative sample of Chinese firms. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 32, 271-294.
- Tagbotor, D. P., Adzido, R. Y. N., & Agbanu, P. G. (2015). Analysis of records management and organizational performance. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*, 5(2), 1-16.
- Tale, S. & Alefaio, O. (2005). *Records management in developing countries: challenges and threats – towards a realistic plan*. (Retrieved from: <http://www.acarm.org/documents/issue37/37.6%20Records%20Management%20in%20D>).
- The library of Virginia, (2010). *Virginia public records management manual*. Richmond 1-20
- Thobaben, M., & Woodward, W. (1996). Workplace security for home health care employees. *Journal of Home Health Care Practice*, 8(6), 58-65.
- Thurston, A. (2005). Records management as a public sector accountability function. *Records Management Journal*, 12(3), 98-102.
- Thurston, A. (2012). Trustworthy Records and Open Data. *The Journal of Community Informatics*. (Retrieved from <http://cijournal.net/index.php/ciej/article/view/951/95>).
- Zawiyah, M. Y. & Robert, W. C. (2000). The records life cycle: An inadequate concept for technology-generated records.